

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Airmont, New York; General Obligation

Primary Credit Analyst:

Hilary A Sutton, New York (1) 212-438-7093; hilary.sutton@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

Ruth S Ducret, Boston (1) 617-530-8316; ruth.ducret@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

Summary:

Airmont, New York; General Obligation

Credit Profile

US\$2.04 mil pub imp rfdg serial bnds ser 2015

Long Term Rating

AA/Stable

New

Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services assigned its 'AA' long-term rating and stable outlook to the village of Airmont, N.Y.'s series 2015 public improvement refunding serial bonds.

A pledge of the village's faith and credit secures the bonds. Bond proceeds will refund debt issued in 2007 for level debt service savings, and there is no extension of final maturity.

The rating reflects our assessment of the following factors for the village:

- Very strong economy, with access to a broad and diverse metropolitan statistical area (MSA);
- Adequate management, with "standard" financial policies and practices under our Financial Management Assessment methodology;
- Strong budgetary performance, with operating surpluses in the general fund and at the total governmental fund level;
- Very strong budgetary flexibility, with an available fund balance in fiscal 2014 of 29% of operating expenditures;
- Very strong liquidity, with total government available cash of 25.9% of total governmental fund expenditures and 2.2x governmental debt service, and access to external liquidity we consider strong;
- Strong debt and contingent liability position, with debt service carrying charges of 12% of expenditures and net direct debt that is 101.8% of total governmental fund revenue and low overall net debt at less than 3% of market value and rapid amortization, with 66% of debt scheduled to be retired in 10 years; and
- Strong institutional framework score.

Very strong economy

We consider Airmont's economy very strong. The village, with an estimated population of 8,818, is located in Rockland County in the New York-Newark-Jersey City MSA, which we consider to be broad and diverse. The village has a projected per capita effective buying income of 135% of the national level and per capita market value of \$121,046. Overall, the village's market value fell 3% over the past year, to \$1.1 billion in 2015. The county unemployment rate was 5.1% in 2014.

The primarily residential village encompasses approximately five square miles of the town of Ramapo. We understand there to be no pending or outstanding tax certioraris that would have a material impact on the village's property tax base.

Adequate management

We view the village's management as adequate, with "standard" financial policies and practices under our Financial Management Assessment methodology, indicating the finance department maintains adequate policies in some, but not all, key areas.

The village does not have a formal reserve policy, but has a goal of holding reserves at between 15%-20% of the budget. There is no formal debt policy, capital improvement plan, or long-term financial plan. Investment reporting is done annually and budget-to-actual reports are presented to the board quarterly. We understand the village reviews two years of historical information during budget preparation.

Strong budgetary performance

Airmont's budgetary performance is strong in our opinion. The village had operating surpluses of 2.1% in the general fund and 2.1% across all governmental funds in fiscal 2014.

The village's unaudited financial statements conform with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and are submitted to the New York State Department of Audit and Control. The fiscal 2014 annual update document (AUD) identifies five funds and account groups, including the general fund; we use the general fund as a proxy for total governmental funds. Real property tax receipts accounted for 65% of fiscal 2014 general fund revenue, which we consider a strong and stable revenue source particularly since the county reimburses the village for all uncollected taxes. Management projects break-even results for fiscal 2015 and has no major budget concerns for fiscal 2016.

Very strong budgetary flexibility

Airmont's budgetary flexibility is very strong, in our view, with an available fund balance in fiscal 2014 of 29% of operating expenditures, or \$910,000.

The available general fund balance has increased each year since fiscal 2011 when it totaled \$391,778. We do not expect the flexibility score to worsen given management's projection of break-even results for fiscal 2015.

Very strong liquidity

In our opinion, Airmont's liquidity is very strong, with total government available cash of 25.9% of total governmental fund expenditures and 2.2x governmental debt service in 2014. In our view, the village has satisfactory access to external liquidity if necessary.

The village's general fund balance sheet for fiscal 2014 consists mainly of cash (67% of the total); there are no investments identified and as such we do not believe the village has exposure to aggressive investments. We do not expect this score to weaken, and management reports no exposure to bank loans. Finally, we believe the village's issuance of GO bonds indicates strong access to external liquidity.

Strong debt and contingent liability profile

In our view, Airmont's debt and contingent liability profile is strong. Total governmental fund debt service is 12% of total governmental fund expenditures, and net direct debt is 101.8% of total governmental fund revenue. Overall net debt is low at 2.9% of market value and approximately 66% of the direct debt is scheduled to be repaid within 10 years, which are in our view positive credit factors.

Management has no additional debt plans.

Airmont's pension contributions totaled 3.5% of total governmental fund expenditures in 2014. The village made its full annual required pension contribution in 2014.

Village employees receive pension benefits through the New York State and Local Employees' Retirement System, which is well funded at more than 80%. The village does not offer other postemployment benefits.

Strong institutional framework

The institutional framework score for New York villages is strong.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our opinion of the village's very strong budgetary flexibility and liquidity, supported by strong performance. Airmont's very strong economy, which benefits from its proximity to New York City, enhances rating stability. As such, we do not expect to change the rating in the two-year horizon.

Downside scenario

Should budgetary pressures arise that result in weakened liquidity and flexibility, we could lower the rating.

Upside scenario

We could raise the rating, all else equal, if more robust management practices and policies were adopted, coupled with the preparation of audited financial statements rather than AUDs.

Related Criteria And Research

Related Criteria

- USPF Criteria: Local Government GO Ratings Methodology And Assumptions, Sept. 12, 2013
- USPF Criteria: Financial Management Assessment, June 27, 2006
- USPF Criteria: Debt Statement Analysis, Aug. 22, 2006
- USPF Criteria: Assigning Issue Credit Ratings Of Operating Entities, May 20, 2015
- Criteria: Use of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009

Related Research

- S&P Public Finance Local GO Criteria: How We Adjust Data For Analytic Consistency, Sept. 12, 2013

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2015 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgement as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.